

This article was downloaded by: [Renmin University of China]

On: 13 October 2013, At: 10:20

Publisher: Taylor & Francis

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Coordination Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gcoo20>

Template construction of a series of supramolecular coordination polymers via 6,7-dihydro-5H-[1,4]diazepino[1,2,3,4-lmn][1,10]phenanthroline-4,8-dium cation

Zhenhua Zhang^a, Yunyin Niu^a, Seikweng Ng^b, Benlai Wu^a & HongWei Hou^a

^a Department of Chemistry, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450052, P.R. China

^b Department of Chemistry, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur 50603, Malaysia

Published online: 10 May 2011.

To cite this article: Zhenhua Zhang, Yunyin Niu, Seikweng Ng, Benlai Wu & HongWei Hou (2011) Template construction of a series of supramolecular coordination polymers via 6,7-dihydro-5H-[1,4]diazepino[1,2,3,4-lmn][1,10]phenanthroline-4,8-dium cation, *Journal of Coordination Chemistry*, 64:10, 1683-1694, DOI: [10.1080/00958972.2011.579117](https://doi.org/10.1080/00958972.2011.579117)

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00958972.2011.579117>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing,

systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at <http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions>

Template construction of a series of supramolecular coordination polymers *via* 6,7-dihydro-5H-[1,4]diazepino [1,2,3,4-lmn][1,10]phenanthroline-4,8-diium cation

ZHENHUA ZHANG[†], YUNYIN NIU*[†], SEIKWENG NG[‡],
BENLAI WU[†] and HONGWEI HOU[†]

[†]Department of Chemistry, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450052, P.R. China

[‡]Department of Chemistry, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur 50603, Malaysia

(Received 22 December 2010; in final form 18 March 2011)


Five cation-induced complexes, (phen-dzp) [ZnBr₃N₃] (**1**), (phen-dzp) [ZnCl₂Br₂] (**2**), (phen-dzp) (H₃O)₂ [Fe(CN)₆] (**3**), (phen-dzp)₂ [Cu₂I₆] (**4**), and [(phen-dzp)(Ag₂Br₄)]_n (**5**) [phen-dzp = (C₁₅H₁₄N₂)²⁺, 6,7-dihydro-5H-[1,4]diazepino[1,2,3,4-lmn][1,10]phenanthroline-4,8-diium], have been synthesized *via* self-assembly in solution. The crystal structures of **1–3** show that they all crystallize in monoclinic systems with mononuclear structures. Compound **4** is a binuclear coordination polymer. Complex **5** possesses a 2-D supramolecular layer with the organic cation phen-dzp trapped in it; interestingly, each inorganic polymeric planar layer is composed of large, decagon rings formed by sharing four Br[−] and four [Ag₂Br₄] quadrilateral units with six adjacent decagon rings. The results provide insights into template effects of synthetic approaches on the construction of supramolecular compounds. The structures of **1–5** have been characterized by elemental analysis, infrared spectra, and single-crystal X-ray crystallography. Solid-state photoluminescence properties of **1–5** have been determined.

Keywords: Template effect; Photoluminescence property; X-ray crystal structure

1. Introduction

Although the term template effect was first used and defined at the beginning of the 1960s [1], surprising discoveries and new applications in the field of supramolecular chemistry in the 1990s provoked a boom in the subject. More and more examples cover the relevance of the template effect under the heading of rational synthesis. Metal ions can act as templates with neutral molecules, hydrogen bonds, and electrostatic interactions and also supporting formation of supramolecular compounds. A template is described as temporary or external if it is eliminated at the end of a synthesis and not incorporated into the end product [2]. If molecular compounds originally present are also found in the end product, it is defined as a permanent template [3]. Supramolecular compounds, especially coordination polymers directed by organic cations [4–9], have become one of the most active areas of chemical engineering and molecular science.

*Corresponding author. Email: niuyy@zzu.edu.cn



Scheme 1. Organic cation phen-dzp.

Our recent studies reported a cuprous thiocyanate polymer directed by the azotic heterocyclic organic template 1,5-*bis*(pyridinium) pentane cation [10].

In order to explore self-assembly and mechanism in M–X supramolecular coordination polymers, we select Cu(+), Ag(+), Zn(2+), and Fe(2+) as metal cations and phen-dzp as organic cation-template in this article. Five supramolecular compounds were obtained and structurally characterized. In all the structures, the organic cation is a permanent template which serves to counterbalance the charge on the inorganic anion and induce formation of the whole supramolecular structure.

2. Experimental

2.1. General

Commercial reagents were used without purification and all experiments were carried out in open atmosphere. 1,10-Phenanthroline monohydrate and 1,3-dibromoalkane were used as received. Other chemicals were obtained from commercial sources and used as received. Elemental analyses of all crystals were performed on a VARIO EL (Heraeus) CHNS analyzer. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded using a Bruker Tensor 27 spectrophotometer from 4000 to 400 cm^{-1} using KBr pellets. The fluorescence spectra of 1–5 were recorded using a HITACHI F-4500 (fluorescence spectrophotometer) in a 1-cm quartz cell. The excitation and emission bandwidths were set at 5 nm.

2.2. Synthesis

2.2.1. Synthesis of 6,7-dihydro-5H-[1,4]diazepino[1,2,3,4-*lmn*][1,10]phenanthroline 4,8-diiium (phen-dzp). The phen-dzp (scheme 1) [11, 12] was synthesized from 1,10-phenanthroline monohydrate (5 mmol, 1 g) and 1,3-dibromoalkane (10 mmol, 2.02 g) was heated to 70–80°C, and refluxed for 6 h giving yellow precipitate. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, the precipitate was collected, recrystallized with methanol and ether, and dried in vacuum; 2.3 g yellow powder was obtained (80% yield).

Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for 1–5.

	1	2	3	4	5
Empirical formula	$C_{15}H_{14}Br_3N_5Zn$	$C_{15}H_{14}Br_2Cl_2N_5Zn$	$C_{21}H_{30}FeN_8O_2$	$C_{15}H_{14}CuI_3N_2$	$C_{15}H_{14}Ag_2Br_4N_2$
Formula weight	569.41	518.37	472.30	666.52	757.66
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	$P2(1)$	$P2(1)/n$	$P2(1)/n$	$P2(1)/n$	$P2(1)/c$
Unit cell dimensions (\AA , $^\circ$)					
a	8.224(2)	7.1829(6)	13.0731(2)	12.732(2)	8.8671(5)
b	11.935(3)	16.0542(14)	13.2508(2)	8.5688(15)	13.3994(8)
c	9.002(3)	14.7411(13)	12.5115(2)	15.759(3)	17.6184(11)
α	90	90	90	90	90
β	91.554(4)	93.4140(10)	93.435(1)	92.465(3)	104.3320(10)
γ	90	90	90	90	90
Volume (\AA^3), Z	883.1(4), 2	1696.9(3), 4	2163.46(6), 4	1717.7(5), 4	2028.2(2), 4
Calculated density ρ (Mg m^{-3})	2.141	2.029	1.450	2.577	2.481
Absorption coefficient (mm^{-1})	8.184	6.468	0.733	6.658	9.810
$F(000)$	548	1008	976	1224	1408
Crystal size (mm^3)	$0.32 \times 0.28 \times 0.24$	$0.23 \times 0.21 \times 0.19$	$0.35 \times 0.20 \times 0.10$	$0.43 \times 0.40 \times 0.39$	$0.18 \times 0.16 \times 0.16$
θ range for data collection ($^\circ$)	2.26–26.00	2.54–25.20	2.2–28.5	2.10–25.50	0.93–25.49
Reflections collected	5057	9350	4442	9026	6771
Independent reflections	3366 (0.0291)	3160 (0.0240)	3160 (0.0222)	3160 (0.0461)	2290 (0.0326)
Max./min. transmission	0.2441/0.1793	0.3729/0.3178	0.2865/0.1932	0.1810/0.1619	0.3028/0.2712
Data/restraints/parameters	3366/1/218	3160/24/212	3160/12/313	3160/0/191	2290/0/204
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	0.941	1.079	1.035	1.045	1.110
Final R indices [$I > 2\sigma(I)$]	$R_1 = 0.0975$, $wR_2 = 0.2620$	$R_1 = 0.0547$, $wR_2 = 0.1816$	$R_1 = 0.0305$, $wR_2 = 0.0870$	$R_1 = 0.0594$, $wR_2 = 0.1785$	$R_1 = 0.0731$, $wR_2 = 0.2137$
R indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.1069$, $wR_2 = 0.2748$	$R_1 = 0.0626$, $wR_2 = 0.1896$	$R_1 = 0.0348$, $wR_2 = 0.0904$	$R_1 = 0.0650$, $wR_2 = 0.1809$	$R_1 = 0.0790$, $wR_2 = 0.2238$

$$R_1 = \frac{\sum |F_o| - |F_c|}{\sum |F_o|}; wR_2 = \frac{[\sum (F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2 / w]}{\sum F_o^2}^{1/2}.$$

Table 2. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) within the coordination spheres in 1–5.

1					
Br(1)–Zn(1)	2.309(4)	Br(2)–Zn(1)	2.357(3)	Br(3)–Zn(1)	2.319(3)
N(3)–Zn(1)	2.025(16)	N(3)–N(4)	1.16(3)	N(4)–N(5)	1.09(2)
Br(1)–Zn(1)–Br(3)	108.32(13)	N(3)–Zn(1)–Br(2)	107.9(6)	Br(1)–Zn(1)–Br(2)	108.97(14)
Br(3)–Zn(1)–Br(2)	110.95(11)	N(3)–Zn(1)–Br(1)	114.9(6)	N(3)–Zn(1)–Br(3)	105.8(6)
2					
Cl(1)–Zn(1)	2.35(2)	Cl(2)–Zn(1)	2.31(2)	Br(1)–Zn(1)	2.307(10)
Br(2)–Zn(1)	2.434(8)	N(1)–C(1)	1.342(8)	N(1)–C1(2)	1.374(8)
N(1)–C(15)	1.485(8)	N(2)–C(10)	1.330(8)	N(2)–C(11)	1.382(7)
N(2)–C(13)	1.489(7)	Br(1)–Zn(1)–C(12)	108.7(7)	Br(1)–Zn(1)–C(12)	106.9(6)
C(1)–N(1)–C(12)	121.1(5)	C(1)–N(1)–C(15)	117.7(5)	C(12)–N(1)–C(15)	120.2(5)
Br(1)–Zn(1)–Br(2)	111.0(3)	C(11)–Zn(1)–Br(2)	108.7(7)	Br(1)–Zn(1)–C(12)	106.9(6)
C(10)–N(2)–C(11)	120.4(5)	C(10)–N(2)–C(13)	119.1(5)	C(11)–N(2)–C(13)	119.4(5)
3					
Fe(1)–C(18)	1.8699(16)	Fe(1)–C(19)	1.8856(16)	Fe(1)–C(16)	1.9124(15)
Fe(1)–C(20)	1.9179(16)	Fe(1)–C(21)	1.9209(16)	Fe(1)–C(17)	1.9218(16)
N(3)–C(16)	1.157(2)	N(4)–C(17)	1.162(2)	N(5)–C(18)	1.153(2)
N(6)–C(19)	1.162(2)	N(7)–C(20)	1.160(2)	N(8)–C(21)	1.162(2)
C(18)–Fe(1)–C(19)	88.36(7)	C(19)–Fe(1)–C(16)	91.89(7)	C(18)–Fe(1)–C(20)	92.79(7)
C(19)–Fe(1)–C(20)	90.41(7)	C(16)–Fe(1)–C(20)	85.78(6)	C(18)–Fe(1)–C(21)	90.92(7)
C(19)–Fe(1)–C(21)	88.01(7)	C(16)–Fe(1)–C(21)	90.52(6)	C(18)–Fe(1)–C(17)	89.61(7)
C(16)–Fe(1)–C(17)	90.19(6)	C(20)–Fe(1)–C(17)	91.56(7)	C(21)–Fe(1)–C(17)	90.15(6)
4					
I(1)–Cu(1)	2.632(2)	I(2)–Cu(1)	2.635(2)	I(3)–Cu(1)	2.701(2)
I(3)–Cu(1)	2.736(3)	N(1)–C(1)	1.340(17)	N(1)–C(5)	1.378(18)
N(1)–C(13)	1.500(17)	N(2)–C(6)	1.364(18)	N(2)–C(11)	1.488(18)
N(2)–C(10)	1.337(18)	I(1)–Cu(1)–I(2)	110.61(9)	I(1)–Cu(1)–I(3)	109.31(8)
I(2)–Cu(1)–I(3)	115.60(9)	I(1)–Cu(1)–I(3)	113.83(9)	I(3)–Cu(1)–I(3)	101.13(8)
C(1)–N(1)–C(5)	121.8(12)	C(1)–N(1)–C(13)	117.4(12)	C(5)–N(1)–C(13)	119.7(11)
C(6)–N(2)–C(11)	120.1(11)	C(10)–N(2)–C(6)	120.6(13)	C(10)–N(2)–C(11)	118.1(13)
5					
Br(1)–Ag(1)	2.8111(18)	Br(2)–Ag(1)	2.8513(16)	Br(3)–Ag(1)	2.8322(17)
Br(4)–Ag(1)	2.9169(16)	Br(1)–Ag(2)	2.8264(18)	Br(2)–Ag(2)	2.8372(16)
Br(3)–Ag(2)	2.8966(16)	Br(4)–Ag(2)	2.8665(16)	Ag(2)–Ag(1)	3.100(2)
N(1)–C(1)	1.262(18)	N(1)–C(5)	1.389(17)	N(1)–C(13)	1.548(16)
N(2)–C(6)	1.421(17)	N(2)–C(10)	1.313(19)	N(2)–C(11)	1.467(16)
Br(1)–Ag(1)–Ag(2)	56.88(4)	Br(2)–Ag(1)–Ag(2)	56.76(4)	Br(3)–Ag(1)–Br(4)	100.88(5)
Br(1)–Ag(1)–Br(4)	110.15(5)	Br(2)–Ag(1)–Br(4)	105.06(5)	Br(4)–Ag(2)–Ag(1)	135.01(6)
Br(3)–Ag(2)–Ag(1)	122.65(6)	Br(1)–Ag(2)–Br(2)	113.60(5)	Br(1)–Ag(2)–Br(4)	112.55(5)
Br(2)–Ag(2)–Br(4)	113.47(6)	Br(1)–Ag(2)–Br(3)	104.10(6)	Br(2)–Ag(2)–Br(3)	109.84(5)
Br(4)–Ag(2)–Br(3)	102.18(5)	Br(4)–Ag(2)–Ag(1)	135.01(6)	Br(3)–Ag(2)–Ag(1)	122.65(6)
C(10)–N(2)–C(6)	122.3(13)	C(10)–N(2)–C(11)	117.9(11)	C(6)–N(2)–C(11)	118.6(12)
C(1)–N(1)–C(5)	124.0(13)	C(1)–N(1)–C(13)	117.2(11)	C(5)–N(1)–C(13)	117.4(11)

2.2.2. Synthesis of 1. An ethanol solution of phen-dzp·2Br (0.388 g, 1 mmol) was added to a stirring colorless solution of ZnCl₂ (0.134 g, 1.0 mmol) dissolved in 10 mL of DMF/H₂O (volume ratio of 4 : 1) in the presence of excess NaN₃ (0.325 g, 5.0 mmol). The solution was then filtered and slowly evaporated in a vial at room temperature. White crystals of **1** suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained after 3 days in 56% yield. Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₁₄Br₃N₅Zn (%): C, 31.61; H, 2.46; N, 12.29. Found (%): C, 31.65; H, 2.43; N, 12.30. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 712 ν (C–C); 1461 ν (C=N); 697 ν (pyridine).




Figure 1. (a) The basic structural unit of **1**. (b) Packing structure of **1**.

2.2.3. Synthesis of 2. A methanol solution of phen-dzp · 2Br (0.388 g, 1 mmol) was added to a stirring colorless solution of ZnCl₂ (0.134 g, 1.0 mmol) dissolved in 10 mL of DMF/H₂O (volume ratio of 4 : 1). The solution was then filtered and slowly evaporated in a vial at room temperature. White crystals of **2** suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained after 7 days in 41% yield. Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₁₄Br₂Cl₂N₂Zn (%): C, 34.72; H, 2.70; N, 5.40. Found (%): C, 34.73; H, 2.68; N, 5.52. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 712 ν (C–C); 1461 ν (C=N); 697 ν (pyridine); 2020 ν (N≡N=N).

2.2.4. Synthesis of 3. A methanol solution of phen-dzp · 2Br (0.388 g, 1 mmol) was added to a stirring colorless solution of K₄Fe(CN)₆ (0.33 g, 1.0 mmol) dissolved in 10 mL of DMF/H₂O (volume ratio of 4 : 1). The solution was then filtered and slowly evaporated in a vial at room temperature. Black crystals of **3** suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained after 7 days in 63% yield. Anal. Calcd for C₂₁H₂₀FeN₈O₂ (%): C, 53.39; H, 4.23; N, 23.58. Found (%): C, 53.35; H, 4.25; N, 23.56. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 712 ν (C–C); 1461 ν (C=N); 697 ν (pyridine); 2040 ν (C≡N).

2.2.5. Synthesis of 4. An ethanol solution of phen-dzp · 2Br (0.388 g, 1 mmol) was added to a stirring pale yellow solution of CuI (0.190 g, 1.0 mmol) dissolved in 10 mL of




Figure 2. (a) The basic structural unit of **2**. (b) Packing structure of **2**.

DMF/H₂O (volume ratio of 3 : 1) in the presence of excess KI (1.83 g, 5.0 mmol). The solution was then filtered and slowly evaporated in a vial at room temperature. Yellow crystals of **4** suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained after several weeks in 32% yield. Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₁₄CuI₃N₂ (%): C, 27.00; H, 2.10; N, 4.20. Found (%): C, 26.98; H, 2.08; N, 4.23. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 710 ν (C–C); 1469 ν (C=N); 695 ν (pyridine).

2.2.6. Synthesis of 5. A methanol solution of phen-dzp · 2Br (0.388 g, 1 mmol) was added to a stirring pale yellow solution of AgBr (0.188 g, 1.0 mmol) dissolved in 10 mL of DMF/H₂O (volume ratio of 3 : 1) in the presence of excess KBr (0.36 g, 5.0 mmol). The reaction vessel was left to stand 3 days at room temperature. Large dark-yellow crystals of **5** suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained in 73% yield. Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₁₄Ag₂Br₄N₂ (%): C, 23.76; H, 1.85; N, 3.69. Found (%): C, 23.74; H, 1.86; N, 3.67. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 711 ν (C–C); 1465 ν (C=N); 690 ν (pyridine).

2.3. X-ray crystallography

Data were collected for five crystals on a Bruker APEX CCD area-detector diffractometer using graphite-monochromated Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.7103 \text{ \AA}$).




Figure 3. (a) The basic structural unit of **3**. (b) 3-D architecture of **3**.

The X-ray intensities were corrected for absorption using a semi-empirical procedure [13]. The crystal structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least squares based on F^2 [14]. Crystal data and structure refinement parameters for **1–5** are given in table 1, and selected bond lengths and angles in table 2.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. IR spectra

Typical absorption bands at $3750\text{--}3000\text{ cm}^{-1}$ (m, broad) and around $1650\text{--}1400\text{ cm}^{-1}$ are assignable to the presence of water and the skeleton vibration of 1,10-phenanthroline monohydrate. The spectrum of phen-dzp has characteristic bands due to $\nu(\text{C}\text{--}\text{C})$, $\nu(\text{C}=\text{N})$, and pyridine at 713 , 1466 , and 699 cm^{-1} . Relative to the terminal $\text{C}\equiv\text{N}$ stretch at 2040 cm^{-1} in the IR spectrum of **3**, the terminal $\text{N}\equiv\text{N}=\text{N}$ stretch is at 2020 cm^{-1} in **1**.




Figure 4. (a) A drawing of the copper(I) coordination environment in **4**. (b) The supramolecular architecture of **4** in the *ac* plane.

3.2. The crystal structures of 1–5

3.2.1. Crystal structure of (phen-dzp) [ZnBr₃N₃] (1**).** Single-crystal structure analysis reveals that **1** crystallized in monoclinic system with space group *P2*(1). The structural unit of **1**, shown in figure 1(a), consists of one Zn²⁺, three Br[−], one N₃[−], and one phen-dzp. The Zn is four-coordinate with three Br[−] and one N to form tetrahedral geometry; the bond lengths range from 2.025(16) Å to 2.357(3) Å and bond angles vary from 105.8(6)° to 114.9(6)°. Within each unit, Br[−] connects with phen-dzp²⁺ through a hydrogen bond, forming a 2-D architecture through weak hydrogen-bond interactions (shown in figure 1b). The organic cation plays a role of permanent template in the structure.

3.2.2. Crystal structure of (phen-dzp) [ZnCl₂Br₂] (2**).** The structure of **2** is similar to **1**, crystallized in monoclinic system with space group *P2*(1)/*n*. The structural unit of **2**, shown in figure 2(a), consists of one Zn²⁺, two Br[−], two Cl[−], and one phen-dzp. The Zn is four-coordinate with two Br[−] and two Cl[−] in a tetrahedron. The bond lengths of




Figure 5. (a) A drawing of the silver(I) coordination environment in **5**. (b) The decagon ring of **5**. (c) Packing structure of **5** in the *ac* plane.

Zn–Br and Zn–Cl range from 2.282(15) Å to 2.434(8) Å and bond angles vary from 104.3(8)° to 108.7(7)°. With each unit, two Br[−] connect with three phen-dzp²⁺ through hydrogen bonds. In both **1** and **2**, there exist hydrogen-bond interactions [15] forming a 3-D architecture (shown in figure 2b). In the solid state, the phen-dzp²⁺ array around the anion spreads infinitely along the *b*-axis.

3.2.3. Crystal structure of (phen-dzp)(H₃O)₂ [Fe(CN)₆] (3). Single-crystal structure analysis revealed that **3** crystallized in monoclinic system with space group *P2(1)/n*. The structural unit of **3** is shown in figure 3(a), which consists of one [Fe(CN)₆]^{4−} and two phen-dzp cations and two H₃O⁺. The Fe is six-coordinate by six CN[−] groups with Fe–C bond lengths from 1.8699(16) Å to 1.9218(16) Å and bond angles from 85.78(6)° to 92.79(7)°. The organic cation is a permanent template counterbalancing the charge on [Fe(CN)₆]^{4−} (figure 3b).

3.2.4. Crystal structure of (phen-dzp)₂ [Cu₂I₆] (4). Single-crystal structure analysis reveals that **4** consists of [C₁₅H₁₄N₂]²⁺ as a structure-directing agent and [Cu₂I₆]^{4−}. Compound **4** is a binuclear polymer. In the polyanionic framework [Cu₂I₆]^{4−}, as shown




Figure 6. (a) Solid-state fluorescence spectrum of **2** and phen-dzp · 2Br at room temperature. (b) Solid-state fluorescence spectrum of **3**. (c) Solid-state fluorescence spectra of **4** and phen-dzp · 2Br at room temperature. (d) Fluorescence spectra of **5** and phen-dzp · 2Br at room temperature.

in figure 4(a), the coordination environments of coppers are identical. Each is four-coordinate in a distorted tetrahedral geometry by two $\mu_2\text{-I}^-$ and two terminal I^- . The bond distances around Cu are 2.632(2) Å to 2.701(2) Å and the bond angles range from 109.31(8)° to 115.60(9)°. The two Cu ions are bridged by two $\mu_2\text{-I}^-$ with a Cu...Cu distance of 3.4538 Å. From the *b*-axis, phen-dzp²⁺ array around the polyanionic chains (figure 4b). We have synthesized $\{[\text{Cu}_2(\text{SCN})_4(\text{phen-dzp})]\}_n$ [16], to compare with zinc chloride and potassium ferrocyanide, the halogen/pseudohalogenoocuprates (I) and the organic cation-template form complexes easily.

3.2.5. Crystal structure of [(phen-dzp)(Ag₂Br₄)]_n (5**).** The X-ray single-crystal structure analysis reveals that **5** crystallizes in the monoclinic system with space group *P2(1)/c*. Complex **5** is a 2-D polymeric architecture with phen-dzp²⁺ trapped in the infinite 2-D inorganic [Ag₂Br₄]_n network. In the polymeric structure, the basic unit is [Ag₂Br₄]. The unit structure is shown in figure 5(a). In [Ag₂Br₄], two Ag ions are connected by two $\mu_2\text{-Br}^-$ forming quadrilateral rings. If we ignore the interaction of Ag–Ag [3.100(2) Å], Ag forms tetrahedral geometry. Bond distances around Ag(1) are

2.8111(18) Å to 2.9169(16) Å and around Ag(2) from 2.8264(18) Å to 2.8966(16) Å; bond angles around Ag(1) range from 105.06(5)° to 117.77(5)° and around Ag(2) from 102.18(5)° to 113.60(5)°. Six Ag ions from four quadrilateral rings and four μ_2 -Br⁻ formed a decagon (figure 5b). So each inorganic polymeric planar net is composed of large decagons formed by sharing four Br⁻ and four [Ag₂Br₄] quadrilateral units with six adjacent decagons. Topologically, in **5** the network can be identified as a regular 2-D (6,3) net (Supplementary material). In the whole structure, the phen-dzp, acting as anti configuration, interlude irregularly in the 2-D network of the inorganic polymer (figure 5c).

3.3. Solid-state photoluminescence properties of 1–5

Luminescent properties of MOFs are of interest owing to their higher thermal stability than pure organic ligands and the ability to affect the emission wavelength and intensity by metal coordination [17]. The solid spectra of **2–5** at room temperature are illustrated in figure 6. The phen-dzp organic cation emits at 511 nm under excitation at 390 nm. No photoluminescence was observed for **1**. Excitation of the solid samples at 256 nm (for **2**), 460 nm (for **3**), 410 nm (for **4**), and 400 nm (for **5**) produces emissions with the peak maxima at 345 nm (for **2**), 688 nm (for **3**), 547 nm (for **4**), and 533 nm (for **5**). Comparable with the organic cation, a blue shift of 166 nm in **2** and a red shift in **3–5** have been observed. The emissions of **2** are tentatively ascribed to a ligand-to-metal charge transfer while those of **3–5** are attributed to a ligand-to-ligand charge transfer.

4. Conclusion

We have prepared and characterized five compounds. The structures of **1–3** show that they are monoclinic systems with mononuclear structures. The crystal structure of **4** is a binuclear polymer while **5** possesses a 2-D supramolecular network. The striking structural difference among **1–5** is simply caused by one identical organic cation which is mainly attributed to the template effects during the reactions. This presentation summarizes the most important results on use of phen-dzp cation as an organic cation-template for the synthesis of a range of metal halide/pseudohalide assemblies. The phen-dzp in these compounds, acting as charge-compensating counterions, play an important role in the crystal packing with the polymeric anionic layers or networks. Further efforts are in progress to extend this method to other metal halides/pseudohalides to evaluate the influences of cation structure modifications and different anions on the resulting supramolecular structures as well to elucidate the mechanism of inorganic polymeric framework formation.

Supplementary material

Supporting information: CCDC-800258, CCDC-800261, CCDC-800260, CCDC-800259, and CCDC-723106 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for **1–5**.

These data can be obtained free of charge via <http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html>, or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; Fax: +44 1223 336 033 or Email: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk.

References

- [1] M.C. Thompson, D.H. Busch. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **84**, 1762 (1962).
- [2] (a) A. Shanzer, J. Libman. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 846 (1983); (b) A. Shanzer, J. Libman, F. Frolow. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **103**, 7339 (1981).
- [3] H. Ralf, V. Fritz. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, **33**, 375 (1994).
- [4] S.R. Zheng, Q.Y. Yang, Y.R. Liu, J.Y. Zhang, Y.X. Tong, C.Y. Zhao, C.Y. Su. *Chem. Commun.*, **3**, 356 (2008).
- [5] G.R. Desiraju. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, **34**, 2311 (1995).
- [6] J.L.C. Rowsell, A.R. Millward, K.S. Park, O.M. Yaghi. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **126**, 5666 (2004).
- [7] N.L. Rosi, J. Eckert, M. Eddaoudi, D.T. Vodak, J. Kim, M. O'Keeffe, O.M. Yaghi. *Science*, **300**, 1127 (2003).
- [8] S. Kitagawa, R. Kitaura, S.L. Noro. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, **43**, 2334 (2004).
- [9] Y.Y. Niu, B.L. Wu, X.L. Guo, Y.L. Song, X.C. Liu. *Cryst. Growth Des.*, **8**, 2393 (2008).
- [10] X.R. Lv, F.K. Zhao, X.L. Guo, Y.Y. Niu, N. Xu, H.W. Hou, Y.T. Fan, D. Sun, Y. Zhu. *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, **12**, 653 (2009).
- [11] L.A. Summex. *Tetrahedron*, **24**, 5433 (1968).
- [12] P. Vitoria, J.I. Beitia, J.M. Gutiérrez-Zorrilla, E.R. Sáiz, A. Luque, M. Insausti, J.J. Blanco. *Inorg. Chem.*, **41**, 4396 (2002).
- [13] G.M. Sheldrick. *SADABS*, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany (2010).
- [14] G.M. Sheldrick. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A*, **64**, 112 (2008).
- [15] T.J. Hubin, D.H. Busch. *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, **200–202**, 5 (2000).
- [16] J.M. Yue, N. Xu, Z.H. Zhang, W.L. Dong, Y.Y. Niu, H.W. Hou. *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, **13**, 1534 (2010).
- [17] M.D. Allendorf, C.A. Bauer, R.K. Bhakta, R.J.T. Houk. *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **38**, 1330 (2009).